

## WESTON CONSULTING

planning + urban design

Clerks Department 426 Brant Street Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6 April 5, 2017 File 7643

Attn: Ms. Angela Morgan, City Clerk

Dear Ms. Morgan

Re: 143 Blue Water Place & 105 Avondale Court Response to Draft Official Plan, April 2017

Weston Consulting is the Planning Consultant for the owners of the above referenced lands. This letter is in response to the new draft 2017 Official Plan policies that are being presented to the Committee of the Whole on April 6, 2017. Following are our concerns related to height and the provision for new rights of ways.

## **Height**

The subject lands are designated *Residential-Low Density* in the current in-force Official Plan. Section 7.5.6- Residential Areas of the OP prescribes the development policies for these areas which include, building type, density and compatibility.

It is recognized that the majority of the existing policies in the in-force Official Plan have been duplicated in the new draft 2017 OP. However, additional policies have been added, specifically related to height:

- Section 8.3.2.1 d) On lands designated Residential Low Density, the maximum height of development shall be established through the implementing Zoning By-Law.
- Section 8.3.2.1 e) For development, re-development or infill developments on lands designated Residential- Low Density, the following additional criterion shall be considered when evaluating minor variance applications for increased height:
  - (i) the maximum building height *should* be comparable to the average height of the highest points of the rooflines of existing residential buildings on

the immediately adjoining properties sharing lot lines with the lands under application.

It is our opinion that these polices are too prescriptive and redundant. Section 8.3.2.1 b) requires that other ground orientated dwellings, not including single and semi- detached housing types, be compatible with the scale, urban design and community features of the neighbourhood. Compatibility is a defined term in the draft OP: development or redevelopment which may or may not be the same as or similar to existing development, but can co-exist with the surrounding areas without unacceptable impacts. This approach to determine maximum height is appropriate as it does not limit redevelopment to existing by-laws or lands immediately around any property. It is also reinforced through Section 2.5.1 a) Development Criteria Objectives and Section 2.5.1 a).i Development Criteria Polices of the new draft Official Plan.

## Right of Ways:

Blue Water Place is a private road which provides access to not only residence on the subject property, but provides direct and indirect access to several residential dwellings. New draft OP policies seek to *encourage* new redevelopment projects to provide/ dedicate these roads to the City:

Section 8.3.1.2 d) *Development* which proposes the creation of a new common roadway shall be encouraged to provide the roadway in the form of a public right-of-way.

It is unclear how this provision is intended to implemented. If the City requests that an existing private road to become public, compensation to the landowner should be made.

We ask the Committee consider implications of the above referenced policies and request Planning Policy staff to remove or provide additional clarity.

Yours truly,

**Weston Consulting** 

Per:

Martin Quarcoopome, BES, MCIP, RPP Associate

- c. T. Perruzza, First Urban Inc.
  - S. Chelliah, Bloomfield Homes