

From: Greg Woodruff [<mailto:gregdwoodruff@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, December 04, 2017 7:56 AM

To: Tom Betty.muir

Cc: LaPointe, Amber; Goldring, Rick; Craven, Rick; Dennison, Jack; Taylor, John; Meed Ward, Marianne; Lancaster, Blair; Sharman, Paul; Dayna Walker; Stephen Miller; jford@investigativescience.com

Subject: Re: Delegation to Dec. 4 P&D meeting - Statutory Meeting on Mobility Hubs

I would agree with all Tom said and add couple points.

- 1) Even at the densities specified - no commercial base is possible. 40k people clustered around a Aldershot GO station gone all day can not support local businesses - period. It's a great day for Clapson's corners - where by they way commercial building continues to help restore services driven out of Aldershot by poor development. The drive far to every thing model is getting stronger not weaker.
- 2) The plan just ignores the current population. E.g. If you drive to GO and live in the green belt at the moment. You don't fit in the new program - quit your job I guess.
- 3) The staff seem to feel they are working for a global good. You can not go by staff presentations you have to read the source documents - it's the long con.
- 4) The speed of this is being underplayed. Although staff emphasize they are not forcing private land owners to do anything - they are selecting heights they know will overwhelm any existing usage.
- 5) The mentality being setup has no end. Currently people driving to GO are unworkable, how long before single bikers? Seems to me people who really care would ride tandem.
- 6) You guys really want to take responsibility for a massive one way change affecting a quarter million people? With no mandate? With no public support? All hinged on the idea that people will later thank you for social transit transformation they did not request - in an environment where you could not politically stabilize a single bike lane on new street? Madness.