

CHAPTER ONE- INTRODUCTION					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 1. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	1.5.4 d) currently 1.4.5	Proposed new item d): “supports and encourages the community to identify opportunities to build active creative neighbourhoods"	Policy maintained. 1.5.4 a) b) and c) enable this direction.
S. 1. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Introduction	Economic and social aspects of sustainable development are not well introduced, particularly with respect to create neighborhoods. Maybe Complete Community definition covers daily needs. Nothing addresses the social side.	Policy modified.

SDC Comments
Do not see how policy maintained addresses the proposal.
Changes in Introduction and section 1.4.2 address to some extent.

CHAPTER TWO - SUSTAINABLE GROWTH				
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments
S. 2. 1	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	2.1 general	Do not see enough reference to people or connectivity.
S. 2. #REF!	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	2.4.1 e)	e) To limit the introduction of unplanned <i>intensification</i> in established neighbourhood areas”. This is objective is vitally important and needs to be supported in any fashion possible as we have lot of this.
S. 2. #REF!	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	2.4.2.3	Specific edits for established neighbourhoods provided in comments

CHAPTER TWO - SUSTAINABLE GROWTH				
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments
S. 2. #REF!	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	2.4.2.3 b)	We like the idea of introducing policies that prohibit privately initiated Official Plan amendments for increased density beyond that permitted through the underlying use designation. Strong language is needed that prevents any Official Plan Amendments beyond existing maximum density.
			2.5.2 b)	The policy does not put a "red light" on development in Established Neighbourhoods.

Staff Response	SDC Response
Policy modified.	See connectivity but not reference to people.
Refer to response to comment S.2.27. This was copied from S.2.27. Policy modified to clarify intent. In general the Established Neighbourhood Areas are expected to accommodate only limited intensification. The Growth Framework policies, and specifically the Established Neighbourhood policies discourage intensification have been modified to rely on appropriate processes, policies and criteria to assess potential development, rather than to limit the ability to assess an individual application on its own merit. Please refer to Chapter 2 (2.4).	Alison Enns pointed out the criteria in Chapter 12 that we did not consider. Although not perfect and subject to legal battles. Th OP has done as much as it can understanding the restrictions by outside authorities such as the provincial and regional legislation.
See response to comment S.2.27. This was copied from S.2.27. Policy modified to clarify intent. In general the Established Neighbourhood Areas are expected to accommodate only limited intensification. The Growth Framework policies, and specifically the Established Neighbourhood policies discourage intensification have been modified to rely on appropriate processes, policies and criteria to assess potential development, rather than to limit the ability to assess an individual application on its own merit. Please refer to Chapter 2 (2.4).	Alison Enns pointed out the criteria in Chapter 12 that we did not consider. Although not perfect and subject to legal battles. Th OP has done as much as it can understanding the restrictions by outside authorities such as the provincial and regional legislation.

Staff Response	SDC Response
Refer to response to comment S.2.27. This was copied from S.2.27. Policy modified to clarify intent. Policy modified to clarify intent. In general the Established Neighbourhood Areas are expected to accommodate only limited intensification. The Growth Framework policies, and specifically the Established Neighbourhood policies discourage intensification have been modified to rely on appropriate processes, policies and criteria to assess potential development, rather than to limit the ability to assess an individual application on its own merit. Please refer to Chapter 2 (2.4).	Alison Enns pointed out the criteria in Chapter 12 that we did not consider. Although not perfect and subject to legal battles. Th OP has done as much as it can understanding the restrictions by outside authorities such as the provincial and regional legislation.
Not addressed.	Alison Enns pointed out the criteria in Chapter 12 that we did not consider. Although not perfect and subject to legal battles. Th OP has done as much as it can understanding the restrictions by outside authorities such as the provincial and regional legislation.

CHAPTER THREE - COMPLETE COMMUNITIES					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 3. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	3.1.1.2 f) Currently 3.1.2.2 a)	Additional options should be provided, beyond having to met the CHMC regulations, allowing for conversion to take place. As an example, City of Hamilton policies allows for the proponent to either A) meet (at or above) CHMC vacancy rates, or B) obtain 75% approval from all tenants in the building prior to being able to convert a property to condominium tenure.	Refer to comment S.3.5 - Policy maintained. Policy 86(19) of the Regional Official Plan requires that Local Municipalities use a rental housing vacancy rate of three percent as a minimum threshold to permit the conversion of existing rental housing to ownership tenure or other uses, or the demolition of such housing. See 3.1.2.2 a)
S. 3. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	3.3.2 e)	Provide a timeline for the update the existing Parks, Recreation and Cultural Assets Master Plan for accountability purposes	Policy maintained as this process is outside the scope of the new OP project. Parks and Open Space staff forecast the delivery of a new Parks Master Plan by the end of 2018/early 2019.

SDC Response
Looked at comments in S.3.5. Does not answer our Stakeholder Comment. Leah's response answers the question.
OK - Parks Master Plan to be developed end of March 2018/early 2019. Shown as yellow so will follow-up.

CHAPTER 4 - ENVIRONMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY				
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments
S. 4. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	4.1.2	Address GHG and fuel emissions as part of Climate Change Objectives and Policies.
S. 4. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	4.1.2 a) (ix)	Could effective on-site non-fossil fuel energy generation not help?
S. 4. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	4.2.4 a) (i) b.	Should a smaller size than 1000 square metres be used?
S. 4. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	4.4.2	What about a policy to encourage stewardship of watersheds by Local Land Owners?
S. 4. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	4.5.2.1 c)	Can now drive dune buggies on the beach?
S. 4. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	General	Modify intro so the description of Sustainable Development matches with the new Sustainable Development Principles and Objectives write-up.

CHAPTER 4 - ENVIRONMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY				
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments
			4.2.3 c)	<p>c) Boundary trees shall be protected in accordance with The Forestry Act.</p> <p>No comment given previously but we now know that the Act says boundary trees can be removed as long as both sides agree. This is not protection. We need a private property tree bylaw.</p>
			4.2.3 l)	<p>l) The <i>City</i> will undertake a review of the Urban Forest Management Plan, and will address considerations including but not limited to: canopy cover target(s), <i>tree</i> health, species diversity, renewal of aging <i>tree</i> populations, and climate change and weather impacts.</p> <p>New section. Add “Canopy cover targets shall be a minimum of that recommended by Conservation Halton and/or Environment Canada”</p>

Staff Response	SDC Response
Policy modified. Preamble and policy updated to refer to greenhouse gas and fuel emissions.	Thanks addressed greenhouse gas and fuel emmissions. Why did you change the start of the policies to "The City will-shall-work to improve..." At December Meeting with staff they indicated that "will" meant "implies" tha the policy is related to actions that the City must carry out or implement over the course of the plannning horizon of this Plan..
Policy modified. Existing policy 4.1.2 vii) addresses this consideration, and policy 4.1.2 xi) was added to reflect a broader scope of energy considerations.	Do not see this in vii. Deals with water. AT December Meeting with staff they indicated should use section viii) which addresses energy.
Policy maintained. This question could be considered through the Region's OP review.	When will the Region's OP Review take place?
Policy modified.	What policy was modified? At December Meeting with Staff they indicated should be section 4.4.2.1 g).
This objective speaks generally to multimodal access to the waterfront. The enabling policies are intended to facilitate a range of transportation options to support connection to waterfront lands.	Still comes across as able to drive on the beach with trucks, cars, or any vechicle you want. Feb. 21, 2018 - We have made our point.
Policy modified. The first paragraph of the introduction includes verbatim wording from the principles and objectives write up. Paragraphs 2 & 4 have been updated to further reflect updated Principles and Objectives write up. Feb 2018 Response: Existing policies and definitions maintained. Throughout the Official Plan, all references to “sustainable”, “sustainability”, and “sustainable development” are italicized, which means they are tied to the common definition found in Chapter 13 to ensure alignment and consistency in application.	Further discussion needed on first paragraph and definition. At December Meeting with Staff, it was highlighted that Sustainable Development is embedded in the first paragraph.

Staff Response	SDC Response
	Thanks for the explanation.
	Will track progress.

CHAPTER FIVE - ECONOMIC ACTIVITY					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
			5.4.1 c)	Can the OP be more specific about the kinds of support (or incentives) to be considered? E.g. Full property taxes levied on unused properties, favourable development charges to re-purpose older buildings, etc.	No Response.
S. 5. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	5.5.2 a)	Consider sale of property currently used for recreational purposes be zoned for agriculture purposes on Class 1(+).	Comment noted. It is not expected that the City would rezone Open Space lands for Agricultural purposes.
S. 5. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	General	Provide timelines to complete area-specific plans (McMaster Innovation District and Bronte Creek Meadows) and Employment intensification Study	<p>This level of detail would not be provided in the Official Plan, however future city work plans will identify the timing of the other studies or Area Specific Plans noted in the Official Plan.</p> <p>Appendix E Response: Staff agree that a work plan is essential to implementing the new Official Plan. Staff presented several initiatives that are required for the successful implementation of the Official Plan as part of the Planning and Development Committee meeting on January 24, 2017.</p> <p>The work planning process will occur following adoption of the new Official Plan, and will be subject to alignment with the city’s current Strategic Plan, other corporate initiatives such as transit and transportation plans, a future strategic plan of Council, and annual budget processes.</p>

SDC Response
Would appreciate one. At December Meeting with Staff they cannot provide at level we would like to see but the direction in provided under Community Benefits in sections 12.1.15.
Still need to look at in a little more detail.
If you do not lay-out an overall high level three to five year plan outlining when you to do the work in large chunkc it will never be accomplished. Doing yearly does not look at the final end point you are trying to achieve.

CHAPTER SIX - INFRASTRUCTURE, TRANSPORTATION & UTILITIES					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 6. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	6.2 general	What kind of programs does the city have to promote and facilitate carpooling-car sharing or bike –sharing? The Awareness sub-committee of the SDC could implement some free workshops for residence to increase environmental awareness. Or assigning some budget for Burlington Green to run the workshops	The city's TDM policies and Sustainable Building and Development guidelines promote carpooling, car sharing and bike sharing, and the city's Transportation Department has been pursuing opportunities to facilitate these options. The city would appreciate the support of the committee in promoting these programs through public workshops.
S. 6. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	6.2 general	Change “Public Transit/Transportation definition to “Transit/Transportation” definition which should include bus (public/school), taxis, for hire, car/bike share/rental, autonomous cars, etc.	<p>Definition has not been added. The intent of these policies is to address public transit as a form of public infrastructure and in the city's jurisdiction to manage. Broadening the definition is not appropriate as the policies apply to public service. Instead additional policies have been added to address ride sharing, autonomous vehicles, etc., and that they will be evaluated in conjunction with providing transit service.</p> <p>Feb. 2018 Response (Appendix E0: Policies modified. While the comment has not been addressed through a definition of transit, policies have been modified to further reflect the committee’s recommendation to ensure transportation planning is broadly considering all modes of transportation, including emerging technologies and approaches, and the delivery of service by multiple providers. Many of the policies in Section 6.2 refer to the defined term “multi-modal” to ensure multiple modes and connectivity between modes is considered. Policies 6.2.1.2 k), 6.2.1.2 l) and 6.2.3.2 h) have been written to address the committee’s feedback.</p>

CHAPTER SIX - INFRASTRUCTURE, TRANSPORTATION & UTILITIES					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 6. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	6.2.1.2 j)	Can the OP address the need for Area-Specific Plans to better coordinate economic activity opportunities with required MTO approvals to facilitate long term planning with developers?	Policy maintained. The city is currently undertaking a study to assess the impacts of MTO approvals along the QEW corridor and to recommend a streamlined pre-approvals approach for future developments. The OP will not need to address this through ASP's.
S. 6. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	6.2.10.2 d)	This policy makes no sense. For example the building would be permanently built with reduced parking yet the implementation would not have been completed to the satisfaction of the City.	Policy maintained. Legal agreements, financial securities and other tools are used to ensure that measures are implemented to the satisfaction of the city.
S. 6. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	6.2.4.2	A policy should be incorporated such that barriers should be provided to protect cyclists wherever feasible.	Policy maintained. 6.2.4.(2) g) speaks generally to the consideration of cyclist safety in the design and development of facilities. The Cycling Master Plan may identify specific requirements and the OP may be updated accordingly.
S. 6. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	6.3.1 f)	Where is the policy encouraging this?	Policy modified.

SDC Awareness should address with City's Transporation Department.
<p>Beside city transit need to consider transit provided by private means such as school buses, company or private buses, airline services, taxis, automous vechicles, car pooling for work and school, and city pick-up services. As we become more crowded we are going to need a infrastructure for these types of vechicles and should plan for it. What you are planning for is City Transit not Public Transit. Public transit can be carried out by both City as well as Private Groups. Need to start thinking boarder. See comment in Definiton section.</p> <p>At December Meeting with Staff, they highlighted areas that address the subject 6.2.1.2 h) seamless integration of transportation facilities, 6.2.1.2 l) monitoring emerging trends and amend transportation policyies etc.as required, and 6.2.3.2 - long-term transit planning considers emrging and innoviative technologies. Should cosider getting involved with parking study, zoning bylaw, and trasportation plan. Still not enuough?? Should be in objectives? Different transit definition.</p> <p>Still does not cover items private means such as school buses, company or private bueses, airline services, taxis (or similiar services such as Uber), car pooling or other pick-up services.</p>

When do you intend undertake this study?
Does it really work? Does financial incentives really work? Feb 21/2018 - Understand what you are trying to do. Needs to be a policy to protect riders where feasible. Should not depend on Cycling Master Plan to do this. At December Meeting with Staff they indicate that there is a general principle of protection of cyclists. Is that good enoughH? Feb. 21/2018 - 6.2.4(2) k) will meet our objectives.
It can also provide electricity not just heating and cooling. The question has not been answered. At Demecber Meeting with Staff, they indicated we should look section 6.3.2 q).

CHAPTER SEVEN - DESIGN EXCELLENCE				
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments
			7.1.1	Make clear what is being proposed in reference to sustainability
S. 7. #REF!	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	7.1.1 d)	Use Place Making approach previously sent to staff. We do not feel this is planner jargon.
			7.1.2 c)	Other standards will have to be used outside "municipal" such as Ontario Building Code.
S. 7. #REF!	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	7.1.2 e)	Need to develop urban design brief guidelines used in Development Applications.
S. 7. #REF!	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	7.1.2 f)	Draw from the current and past members of the SDC for the Urban Design Panel. Need this tool in place to help ensure design excellence is achieved.

Staff Response	SDC Response
No comment provided	Provide follow-up on what done.
Appendix E Response - Existing policies and definitions maintained. Throughout the Official Plan, all references to “sustainable”, “sustainability”, and “sustainable development” are italicized, which means they are tied to the common definition found in Chapter 13 to ensure alignment and consistency in application.	Feb. 21 2018 Response: Answer provided.
Although the term "Placemaking" has not been added in policy, elements of this approach has been incorporated in preambles, objectives and policies of this Plan (e.g.. socially-active places, sense of belonging and engagement). Chapters 7 and 12.	Probably can't do any better
No comment provided	Modified as outlined.
Feb. 2018 Response - Policy modified by replacing “municipal” with “applicable” to consider the broader range of development engineering standards, design standards and design manuals in assisting the City in achieving the City’s design objectives.	
Comment noted.	Would like timeframe
Comment noted. The City is developing terms of reference for The Burlington Urban Design Advisory Panel which will establish the purpose, scope of work, membership, meeting procedures, etc. The City will initiate recruitment in Q4 2017.	Time is running out.

CHAPTER EIGHT - LAND USE POLICIES URBAN AREA					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 8. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	8.1.1.2	It is important to finish the Site Specific work in this area quickly so we do not lose control of it.	Comment noted.
S. 8. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	8.1.3.3.2 d) (iii) Currently 8.1.3.3.2 d) (iii)	<i>residential uses</i> with the exception of single-detached, and semidetached dwellings and townhouses;	See response to comment S.8.69 Comment from S.8.69 - Policy modified. Other forms of ground oriented dwellings may be permitted subject to criteria.
S. 8. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	8.1.3.3.2 f), 8.1.3.3.2 f) , and 8.1.3.6.2 g)	Have a concern with townhouses particularly back to back and stacked townhouses. Some of the developments have been awful and are going to lead to slums.	Comment noted.
S. 8. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	8.1.3.6.2 m)	We need more affordable family units. Suggest increase to 50%.	See response to comment S.8.89 and S.8.187. Comment from S.8.89 - Comment has been incorporated into the record of engagement for the Mobility Hub Study and is considered as part of specific plans currently underway. Comment from S.8.187 - Policies modified. Also please note that any new Official Plan policies related to Mobility Hub will be amended as required to reflect the outcome of the area specific plans (i.e. mobility hub study).

CHAPTER EIGHT - LAND USE POLICIES URBAN AREA					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 8. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	8.3 general	Ensure that intensification in established neighborhoods has tighter controls, including rules that prevent developer-initiated OP amendments and zoning by-law changes	Policies modified. Intensification is discouraged in the Established Neighbourhood Area of the growth framework. Development in Residential Neighbourhood Areas is subject to development application process and applicable policies of the Plan including Development Criteria. Also refer to response to comment S.2.27. Comment from S.2.27 - Policy modified to clarify intent. In general the Established Neighbourhood Areas are expected to accommodate only limited intensification. The Growth Framework policies, and specifically the Established Neighbourhood policies discourage intensification have been modified to rely on appropriate processes, policies and criteria to assess potential development, rather than to limit the ability to assess an individual application on its own merit. Please refer to Chapter 2 (2.4).
S. 8. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	8.3.2.1	Specific edits suggested for residential low density	Comment noted.

CHAPTER EIGHT - LAND USE POLICIES URBAN AREA					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 8. ###	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	8.3.4.1 c) Currently 8.3.5.1 c)	We do not agree with this. Any building intensification going above 185 units/ha should have an Official Plan Amendment. This will provide our citizens an early warning of what is taking place and provide them with an opportunity to comment on it.	Policy maintained. Staff believe that a development application can be assessed through a rezoning and effectively respond to context and address the development criteria and built form guidance set in the OP and other tools such as design guidelines.

SDC Response
Have planned finished dates been established?
Staff response does not address the question. This the change pproposed: "b) The following uses may be permitted on lands designated Mixed Use Commercial Centre: ... (iii) residential uses with exception of single-detached, and semi-detached and townhouses. ..."
How do you intend to handle?
Note and track when area specific plan changes come out.

SDC Response
<p>The final version has removed prohibition of Official Plan amendments in Established Neighbourhoods. Zoning By-law amendments, plans of subdivisions cannot be prohibited. Discouraging land assemblies is not strong enough. Need to tell Council the concept of protected Established Neighbourhoods is not possible. Prepare for a blanket ot townhouses with no trees in all low density neighbourhoods.</p> <p>Alison Enns pointed out the criteria in Chapter 12 that we did not consider. Although not perfect and subject to legal battles. Th OP has done as much as it can understanding the restrictions by outside authorities such as the provincial and regional legislation.</p>
<p>The final version has removed prohibition of Official Plan amendments in Established Neighbourhoods. Zoning By-law amendments, plans of subdivisions cannot be prohibited. Discouraging land assemblies is not strong enough. Need to tell Council the concept of protected Established Neighbourhoods is not possible. Prepare for a blanket ot townhouses with no trees in all low density eighbourhoods.</p> <p>Alison Enns pointed out the criteria in Chapter 12 that we did not consider. Although not perfect and subject to legal battles. Th OP has done as much as it can understanding the restrictions by outside authorities such as the provincial and regional legislation.</p>

SDC Response
By burying it in Zoning By=law the public will not be aware of large changes coming. This hold for other areas not just this clause.
Thanks for the explanation.

CHAPTER NINE - LAND USE POLICIES RURAL AREA					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 9. ##	13-Jul-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	9.1.2 d) Currently 9.1.2 c)	Suggested additions to policy: (i) the development envelope shall be located within 120 m of a municipal road and shall not exceed one hectare in area. (ii) The development envelope shall be located so as to minimize impacts on the viability of the current and future agricultural use of the lot. (iii) A new or replacement dwelling shall not be greater than 225 sq. m in size	Policy maintained. The planning rationale for limiting the size of the dwelling 225 sq m is not provided.

SDC Response
<p>Feb 21, 2018 Response: Rationale - Want to prevent turning viable rural agricultural land into large residential estates large houses and large tracks of land being taken up for residential use.</p> <p>If a hard number is required then limit replacement houses to the same size as the original. This would have the same effect of limiting the conversion of existing farm land into large non-productive estates.</p>

CHAPTER TEN - LAND USE POLICIES NORTH ALDERSHOT					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 10. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	10.3.6 (b)	New public roads will be built to rural standards: We'd like clarification on what exactly this means (defined somewhere else in the plan?) but we question whether this is sufficient as we are trying to achieve a 'balanced' transportation system and there are plans in the works to widen a number of our rural roads to improve safety for cycling.	Existing policy maintained. A review of the North Aldershot policies will be done through the Region's Official Plan Review, and any changes will be made at that time.
S. 10. ##	20-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	10.4.4 (c)	Need to be careful that lower density appearance is not confused with sprawl	Comment noted. A review of the North Aldershot policies will be done through the Region's Official Plan Review, and any changes will be made at that time.
S. 10. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	10.4.7 f) (ii)	Use Audubon (or similar) standard for any expansion/change of golf operations	See response to comment S.10.4.

SDC Response
When will Region Plan be done?
When will Region Plan be done?
When will region Plan be done?

CHAPTER ELEVEN - PUBLIC PARTICIPATION & ENGAGEMENT					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 11. ##	20-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	11.3.1	Outline what citizens can do in terms of asking questions and providing opinion at each public meeting (Neighbourhood, Statutory, Recommendation to Committee and Council).	<p>Policy maintained. This level of detail is not addressed by an Official Plan. Please refer to the Engagement Charter and the city's website for addition details on delegating to Council and participating in public meetings.</p> <p>Feb 2018 Response: Existing policies maintained. The above suggestions are helpful process improvement recommendations. However, this level of detail goes beyond the scope of the public participation and engagement policies in the Official Plan which are intended to be high level and consistent with relevant legislation and the City’s Engagement Charter. These suggestions have been shared with the Clerks Department and the City’s Engagement Charter Team for follow up through various implementation initiatives.</p> <p>Please note that the project leads of various city initiatives are responsible for considering the OP policies and Engagement Charter and for identifying the appropriate community engagement objectives and tactics in the context of the specific city project.</p>

CHAPTER ELEVEN - PUBLIC PARTICIPATION & ENGAGEMENT					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 11. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	11.3.1	Provide recommendations four weeks in advance of Planning and Development Recommendation meeting. Allow time to properly analyze.	<p>Policy maintained. Committee agenda timelines are outside of the scope of the Official Plan. Your comment has been shared with Council and the Clerks Department for consideration.</p> <p>Feb 2018 Response: Existing policies maintained. The above suggestions are helpful process improvement recommendations. However, this level of detail goes beyond the scope of the public participation and engagement policies in the Official Plan which are intended to be high level and consistent with relevant legislation and the City’s Engagement Charter. These suggestions have been shared with the Clerks Department and the City’s Engagement Charter Team for follow up through various implementation initiatives.</p> <p>Please note that the project leads of various city initiatives are responsible for considering the OP policies and Engagement Charter and for identifying the appropriate community engagement objectives and tactics in the context of the specific city project.</p>

SDC Response
Engagement Charter only provided general guidelines and is really no help. Current procedure outlines what Citizens can do in neighbourhood meetings? Why not other meetings? Feb 21, 2108 Response: Review Appendix E and still feel this is required.

SDC Response
Where do we stand on this consideration? Feb 21, 2018 Response: Reviewed Appendix E and still feel this is required.

CHAPTER TWELVE - IMPLEMENTATION & INTERPRETATION					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	OP Section	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
			12.1.3.5.1 Currently 12.1.8.1	Should employment lands not also be addressed here?	No comment provided

SDC Response
Provide follow-up on what done. Feb 21 2018 Response: Reply provided.

CHAPTER THIRTEEN - DEFINITIONS					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	Definition	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 13. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Ancillary Employment Use and Area of Employment and Employment	Provide detailed definitions of employment uses with examples.	Existing definitions maintained. The policies of the Plan support the interpretation of these definitions.
S. 13. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Service Commercial	Provide an example or two with definition.	Comment noted. Policy not modified.
S. 13. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Transit	Define “transit” .	Definition not added, see Chapter 6. Appendix E Response: Policies modified. While the comment has not been addressed through a definition of transit, policies have been modified to further reflect the committee’s recommendation to ensure transportation planning is broadly considering all modes of transportation, including emerging technologies and approaches, and the delivery of service by multiple providers. Many of the policies in Section 6.2 refer to the defined term “multi-modal” to ensure multiple modes and connectivity between modes is considered. Policies 6.2.1.2 k), 6.2.1.2 l) and 6.2.3.2 h) have been written to address the committee’s feedback.

SDC Response
<p>Not asking to change the definition. Only want examples so can better understand the definition.</p> <p>Thanks for the example.</p>
<p>Not asking to change the definition. Only want examples so can better understand the definition.</p> <p>Thanks for the examples.</p>
<p>In the development of Sustainable Principles and Objectives, Council requested we needed to change our understanding of transit. All we are trying to do is get a definition of transit that is not in conflict with ours.</p> <p>As discussed. The change in definition does not make sense.</p>

CHAPTER FOURTEEN - SCHEDULES & TABLES					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	Schedule/Table	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response
S. 14. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Schedule A	Green Belt Plan Area and Built Boundary are too similar in colour/style when looking in the Aldershot area – change colour or line type on one of them for ease of reading.	Comment noted. Schedule modified.
			Schedule B	Urban Growth Centre is shown on map but not labeled.	No comment provided
S. 14. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Schedule C	From roughly Kerns Road to Hendon Road – south of Dundas to Lake – why is no Natural Heritage shown.	Schedule maintained. The NHS mapping conforms to the Natural Heritage System in the Region's approved OP. Policies in Section 4.2 have been updated to include direction for unmapped features.
S. 14. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Schedule C	From roughly Kerns Road to Hendon Road south of Dundas to Lake why is there no Natural Heritage shown	Schedule maintained. The NHS mapping conforms to the Natural Heritage System in the Region's approved OP.
S. 14. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Schedule F	'Rail Line' is very difficult to identify on the plan, and the rail line does not continue south past Grahams Line, unlike what the schedule shows.	This schedule has been removed. Please now refer to Schedule D.

SDC Response
Orange line removed from Schedule A. Corrected on Schedules A1 A.
Corrected
Glad to see there is policy for handling unmapped features but how are you suppose to know where they are if you do not map them?? Thanks for explanation.
Glad to see there is policy for handling unmapped features but how are you suppose to know where they are if you do not map them?? Thanks for explanation.
Schedule has been removed but not rail line pass Graham's Lane. Thanks for modifying.

CHAPTER FIFTEEN - APPENDICES					
Row Number	Submission Date	Name/Company/ Organization	Appendices	Stakeholder Comments	Staff Response

General Comments				
Row Number	Date	Name/Company/ Organization	Comments	Response
S. G. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	<p>With all the plans, studies, guidelines, area-specific work, new processes, etc. proposed in this new Official Plan, it is important to put together an overall Work Plan outlining the scope of work, timeline, and resources. This Work Plan should be monitored and controlled to ensure the Work Plan is carried out successfully in a timely fashion and those responsible are held accountable.</p> <p>Feb. 2018 Response (Appendix E) - Staff agree that a work plan is essential to implementing the new Official Plan. Staff presented several initiatives that are required for the successful implementation of the Official Plan as part of the Planning and Development Committee meeting on January 24, 2017.</p> <p>The work planning process will occur following adoption of the new Official Plan, and will be subject to alignment with the city’s current Strategic Plan, other corporate initiatives such as transit and transportation plans, a future strategic plan of Council, and annual budget processes.</p>	<p>This level of detail would not be provided in the Official Plan, however prioritization of various initiatives are considered on an annual basis to determine timing, budget and resources.</p>

SDC Response
<p>If you do not lay-out an overall high level three to five year plan outlining when you to do the work in large chunkc it will never be accomplished. Doing yearly does not look at the final end point you are trying to achieve.</p> <p>Feb. 21 Response: Still looking for a 3-5 year plan.</p>

Sustainable Building and Development Guidelines Comments - Approved by SDC Committee of the Whole Feb. 28, 2018					
Row Number	Date	Name/Company/ Organization	Section	Comment	Response
S. S. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	General	Should develop guidelines for single family homes.	Guidelines maintained. Burlington no longer requires Site Plan for single detached dwellings and receives very few subdivision applications, so there is not a clear implementation mechanism.
S. S. ##	30-Jun-17	Guy Sheppard, Sustainable Development Committee	Water Conservation and Quality, Item 1	Can we not go pass level one for requirements?	Guideline maintained. Level one is the highest standard. This equals enhanced quality treatment which requires 80% long term suspended sediment removal or better.

SDC Response
New Bill 139 (OMB Reforms) incorporating Climate Change in Official Plans may put quantiy of water into play.