

Appendix D – Public Comments

PB-33-19
File # 505-04/17,
520-11/17 and
510-03/17

#	Name & Address	Date Received (by email unless otherwise stated)	Comments
1	Ross Graham 905 Long Drive, Burlington, ON	Oct. 16/17	I have concerned about the concentration of units, the loss of green space and parking in the neighbourhood.
2	Marie MacLeod Vern Inglehart 648 Marley Road Burlington, ON L7T 3R7	Oct 26/17	As residence of Marley Road, directly across the street from the proposed site (Georgian Court) we are expressing our disapproval of the increased density and building height. These proposed increases are excessive and we feel they will be detrimental to the quality of life we now enjoy. The sheer increase in the number of people and height of buildings, not to mention the traffic in this small area will devalue the properties in the area. We vehemently oppose this application.
3	Dave Goch 927 Francis Road Burlington, ON L7T 3Z1	Oct 26/17	<p>I did not know my 927 Francis Road property zoning was RM2, thanks for that.</p> <p>Unfortunately, I will not be in Burlington on the day of the hearing. Too bad, there will probably be fireworks from some of the residents that back on to those lands. Instead of townhouses they will see high rises if this goes through.</p> <p>Regarding the development proposal:</p> <p>I am not in favor of block 1 high density proposal. I am ok with medium density.</p> <p>In general, I would prefer a mix of condominium and rentals to try to add more balance to the neighborhood. There are a lot of existing problems with the complexes in the Warwick area. There is a history of frequent police, drug and fire presence. My house was broken into last year. I think adding condo owners would improve the situation.</p> <p>I would like to see Francis Road altered to widen the last block before Plains to 3 lanes plus the addition of a bike lane. In the morning 2 lanes go towards Plains and in the evening 2 lanes go the opposite direction. Overhead green arrow and red X can serve as traffic flow direction indicators.</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

			<p>Other comments:</p> <p>If the city is intent on adding more residents to the area then I think it is time the city funds a proper community center or partner with the Y. Our area is under served by the city in this regard.</p> <p>I also think the city and developer should offer something up to the residents to sell this. Answer the question, what are we getting out of this?</p> <p>With the next election on the horizon, I would prefer to call our future rally “Rick’s our guy, go with Rick”. I am not as keen on holding a negative “Dump that Chump Rick” rally.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
4	Melanie Seth	Oct 28/17	<p>We are residents on King Road (West side of the street) and are concerned about the added traffic this project will bring as we have no side walk or crossing on our side of the road in order to get our son to King's Road PS. Is that something that would be addressed? Thanks</p>
5	Veronica Findlay Francis Road Burlington, ON	Oct 30/17	<p>As it is right now the traffic on Fairview going west to Plains Rd is ridiculously backed up every day from the sheer volume of people trying to get home. This whole area is so congested at times I can't even get onto my road in the morning sometimes. There is a serious lack of access roads to get from anywhere east of Maplevue Mall to west of King Rd. How are you going to fix the extra volume of traffic that adding this many more people to the area will cause? I just don't think this older area can handle adding this many extra people.</p>
6	Brigid Murphy Keith Thomson 8-873 Warwick Dr Burlington, ON L7T 3Y4	Oct. 31/17	<p>The following are thoughts and comments regarding the Realstar proposal.</p> <p>We are residents of 873 Warwick Drive.</p> <p>1. There has been some speculation by Realstar that the existing complex is too expensive to repair and cheaper to destroy and rebuild. How truthful is that? Ongoing maintenance will be required either way.</p> <p>2. The proposal will heavily increase traffic and</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

			<p>congestion in the area and pose more of a danger to children.</p> <p>3. The proposal will destroy the current sense of community here.</p> <p>4. The proposal will displace families with pets which are numerous in the existing complex.</p> <p>5. The proposal does not address what provisions will be made for current tenants who wish to stay or the order in which the re-construction will take place eg. which units will go first.</p> <p>6. The proposal will destroy the existing neighbourhood and affect the safety and security of the surrounding residential areas by mere overpopulation. It will also negatively impact the surrounding residential property values.</p> <p>We do not believe the proposal is a positive move for residents currently living in and around Georgian Court Estates or the community at large. It will only benefit Realstar Managements development holdings.</p> <p>I hope you will take these concerns into consideration.</p>
7	<p>Sharon Docherty 645 Surrey Lane, Unit 2 Burlington, ON L7T 3S7</p>	Oct 31/17	<p>I am currently a tenant at 645 Surrey Lane. We moved in February of 2017 and in March I believe possibly April of 2017 a notice went out telling all tenants that there was a plan to rebuild starting 2019. We moved in here thinking we could raise our kids in this area without having to move again. However this is not the case. When calling our superintendant Katrina at the time, I was told that should we wish to stay and move into a new unit the rent would be charged at a rate comparable to others in Burlington. I understand that this is the last housing location in Burlington that offers a reduced rate. After looking in the area it is not very fisable for the majority of families this area to pay that amount as it will be much higher than our current rate. I feel this is forcing us to move yet again and to find a new hometown to raise our family.</p> <p>I know that one person isn't always enough to make a change, however I am hoping that there</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

			<p>is more than me asking the city to rethink tearing down these town houses to rebuild. This seems like a plot to make more money and drive out the current tenants.</p> <p>Thanks for your time.</p>
8	Sheri Simmons	Oct. 31/17	<p>I received the information in the mail about the redevelopment of Georgian Court. I do not agree with all of it.</p> <p>Im a single mom of three who works fulltime and have always worked.</p> <p>How affordable are these new units going to be?</p> <p>Im moving into the townhouses in a month and did not realize where my unit is, is second on the list to be torn down.</p> <p>Its already hard to afford to live here at this time with everything going up and I don't feel I should feel like Ill eventually be pushed out.</p> <p>I grew up here as a child with a lot of childhood friends who no longer live in this area but are also torn about them tearing them down.</p> <p>My kids are growing up here and I would love for them to finish off here. They are outstanding students with good grades and I do not want to uproot them due to greed on the part of them wanting to just bring in the money and bring in people from the Toronto area who will clearly be able to afford the high price tags.</p> <p>Why cant they just renovate the exsisting units like all other townhouses are currently doing in the city? Why keep building up and have more people move here when the streets are already congested everyday.</p> <p>My 25 minutes to and from work now takes me almost 45 mins to an hour and that is going just from near ikea to walkers line/mainway area.</p> <p>These are just my opinions and Im sure a lot would agree but does it really matter what we have to say. Regardless its going to go through. More money for the city right?</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

9	Michael Sloan	Nov 9/17	<p>I have lived in Aldershot since 1991. I own my own home on Marley Crescent.</p> <p>I think about the Development is a great idea, as they are aged and need TLC.</p> <p>My concern is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where will the people move while construction is underway. 2. For new people that move in, will they see a Major Rent Increase. 3. Is this just a way to satisfy the owners to increase property rental. 4. If the same person moves back in , will there be no increase on there rent. <p>Example : If a person today is paying \$1,700.00 per month will the New rent go up & \$2,400.00</p>
10	Katherine Bondy 724 Surrey Lane Burlington, On L7T 3S6	Nov 14/17	<p>My name is Katherine Bondy, and I'm a 26-year-old resident at Georgian Court Estates. I've been mulling around the prospect of emailing you since the signs went up, waiting for my frustrations to quiet down, but it seems like that's not going to happen.</p> <p>This project proposal is appalling. You want to give the first round of residents six to eight months to find a new place to live so you can pave down their homes, then try and get them to rent a smaller, poorly built apartment for nearly double the price? Then you want to rinse and repeat five times over? This is ethically, morally and fundamentally wrong. You are taking away the homes of people who have lived here for upwards of 25 years so you can stuff commuters in to overpriced and underdeveloped apartments that will likely fall apart within the first six months.</p> <p>The sudden and irresponsible over-development of Burlington is shameful and will cause nothing but crowded streets and an overpriced market where people like me - young and wanting to start their life, cannot find a place to live.</p> <p>I have lived in Burlington my entire life, and I was hoping to stay here, buy a home, pay taxes and be a part of the community though my career and volunteer work, but I can guarantee you I will be leaving if this project goes through.</p>


Appendix D – Public Comments

			<p>It feels like the final nail in the coffin.</p> <p>And it won't just be me. By continuously allowing for devastating projects like this to overtake our city - projects that are only driven by greed, you will lose an entire demographic of citizens, officially making Burlington another sad and grey commuter city.</p> <p>There is no space left in this city for low to mid income families, and our government is doing a great job in making sure that whoever is left here within a lower income bracket leaves as soon as possible. Within the next 20 years, all that will be left in Burlington are high rise condos and Toronto commuters. It will no longer be a place to raise a family, to start a career, or to consider a real home.</p> <p>And this is just the social impact, we need to consider the environment as well. Lake Ontario is already polluted enough as it is, and there's no lack of contribution on our part. Now as a city, you want to put in more concrete, more cement and more people. This will increase pollutant run off, waste products and garbage volume, steadily affecting our land, resources and already deplorable beach.</p> <p>This can't continue. The only reason the city is doing this is out of greed. You all have to see that the community does not benefit from this. I am beyond frustrated with my hometown right now, and I feel like no matter what I, or any other citizen says, we won't make a difference. And that in itself is incredibly sad.</p> <p>I sincerely hope this project falls through, and instead, you invest money into after school programs for kids in need, or better accessibility for handicapped citizens, or improved program structure and information for drug and alcohol abuse, or stronger resources for new immigrants, or improved and more accessible childcare programs, or better protection for women walking home alone at night. All things that members of this city would actually benefit from, both in the present and long term.</p>
--	--	--	--

Appendix D – Public Comments

			Thank you for your time, and hearing my frustrations.
11	Nancy Griffin 955 King Road, Unit 2 Burlington, ON L7T 4J6	Nov 15/17	<p>Please be advised that I am not in support of this project. The mere fact that there will be an increase to 1450 residential units is shocking. Given the fact that each unit will undoubtedly have one vehicle and possibly more the increase in traffic spilling onto King Road or Francis Road is unnerving and dangerous. Presently to enter onto King Road from our existing complex (955 King Rd) during rush hours requires extreme caution and patience. Likewise exiting east from King Road across a lane of traffic travelling north is also dangerous at the best of times due to volume of traffic. Also to be considered is that there is presently a constant flow of people using the sidewalks which also must be considered when trying to exit or enter onto King Road. In summary I feel very strongly that planning to increase traffic flow of this magnitude is not only short sighted but very dangerous to both drivers and pedestrians.</p>
12	Bob Gould 702-975 Warwick Court Burlington, ON L7T 3Z7	Nov 16/17	<p>I live at 975 Warwick Court and find that our condo prices are well below the rest of Burlington, because of the large rental community in the area. Now with the proposal from Georgian Court to increase the density from 25-40 units per hectare, with a 2 storey max. height, to something in the range of 302 units per hectare, with a height of 16-20 storeys, this would further impact our prices.</p> <p>On top of all of this I will have to listen to heavy construction for the next 5-10 years.</p> <p>I just want to go on record as being strongly against this huge zoning change in our area.</p>
13	Mike Nogas 4-960 Warwick Court Burlington, ON L7T 4C5	Nov 17/17	<p>I would like to add my comments for consideration on the proposed Georgian Court redevelopment.</p> <p>Believe that there are good ideas proposed but the overall number of units is too great for the site. Particularly the arrangement of townhouses at the west side towards King Road. There should be some open space for this section.</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

			<p>Another concern is the high rise towers being higher than existing apartments on Surrey Lane. The towers along with the 8 story base buildings will create a visual wall along the south side of Surrey Lane.</p> <p>There are some additional comments that are noted on the attached PDF.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Widen Warwick-add median landscape, gateway to neighbourhood • Access to existing underground parking not shown • In the park above underground parking, need large trees 
14	Nichola Dakins 616 Marley Road, Burlington, ON L7T 3R7	Nov 20/17	<p>I would first like to thank you for your time when we spoke on the phone about the planning to redevelop Georgian Court (King, Surrey, Marley, Warwick), Files: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17. As per your suggestion I'm sending you some of my concerns via this email.</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

		<p>Also just to mention again my Family and I have been apart of this neighbourhood for just over 6 years and for many years to come (really no plans on ever moving) we plan on calling this place Home! The news of this development was very unsettling to hear, not only to our family but to other families we have spoken to in our neighbourhood. To keep this email short and to the point I will make my concerns in point form, also my husband and I do hope to make the meeting tonight (20th) but we do have young children so we may not be able to make it due to child care, I'm sure a lot more people would be able to attend if there was a child minding option...just a thought.</p> <p>Some main concerns:</p> <p>-SAFTY , on so many levels, ie drivers are always using King, Marley and sunset as a speedway. countless times I've almost been T-boned pulling out of my driveway because drivers going into the complex parking areas are "flying" around the bend, 60+km is not safe on any residential street never mind the tight single lanes on Marley!</p> <p>- Congestion in the area (it's already terrible)</p> <p>- Cleanliness, of streets because of tenants garbage, they use everyday as garbage day to put trash and large items on curb, how can they (at least) double the population of the complex and stay on top of this issue if at the present moment they can not and this has been ongoing, its not a new problem. it's also not up to me and some of the other residents in the houses across the way to always be picking up the blowing trash! but it's our Home/ community so we do it for the greater good but enough is really enough.</p> <p>- Garbage issues, how will it be handled? Burlington as a City always talks about "what a green city" we are so how will tenants in these High rise/low rise buildings be able to properly and effectively use Blue Bins and Compost? most tenants in the existing townhouse don't but out Green compost bins.</p> <p>- Majour disruption to residents:</p>
--	--	--

Appendix D – Public Comments

		<p>workers/machines, noise, mess and dangers of construction site.</p> <p>- How will this help the community that is already here? Other than financial gain for the property owners will this even help the existing residents?</p> <p>Yes, I support to maintain the existing complexes but to fully rebuild would NOT be in the interest of our neighbourhood or community.</p> <p>If I can also just say I know Burlington as a whole has been under pressure from the province to grow (if you will) but maybe Burlingtonians aren't interested in looking like the rest of the GTA with no natural skyline because it was taken over by condo's, no where to park because developers forget not everyone has a life style for transit, lose of what little wild life we have left in the area and the wild life that is here is a "nuisance" because they come into our yard eat our garbage and maybe even our small family pets...do the developers have a plan for relocation of them? of course not there is no profit in helping or thinking long term. Maybe the residents have left the GTA to have a better life style, to gain green space, less hessle and bustle and so that the next generation can see what a tree older then their house or them looks like.</p> <p>If this plan does go though and all of this disruptions and safety issues take place how much of a decrease in property tax will home owners see? and how much of a tire/car allowance will we receive? it is no surprise that when living in a residential development chips in windows and paint happen often and nails in tires is a very common also. As existing residents we moved into a fully developed neighbourhood that was mature and full of charm, not a new sub-division. I also was wondering if any residents of the neighbourhood are sitting on this board of approval because it would be very difficult for parties that have financial gain in this and people who don't live in this neighbourhood to make an un-biases, well rounded decision. The people in this neighbourhood and community care about what our future here looks like. Thank you again.</p>
--	--	--

Appendix D – Public Comments

15	Mike John	Dec 15/17	<p>Unfortunately I didn't make it to the meeting on November 20th but I felt I should express my opinion on this subject. I believe as many others that this change in residential population is much too much for the area allocated. An almost 5 time increase will significantly affect the lives of those currently living in the area.</p> <p>I haven't any idea of the outcome of the meeting but I hope there was some discussion and some opposition.</p>
16	Kris Maxim Jessica Maxim Francis Road Burlington, ON	Jan 2/18	<p>My wife and I are writing this email regarding the application to redevelop 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive.</p> <p>We live on Francis Rd, and our house backs onto the bike path. We are very concerned with the the size of the buildings being proposed. They seem more suited towards a downtown core / high density designed area. Our major concerns with the projects are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overall Size - the building themselves are not a fit to the neighborhood and will take away the privacy of the surrounding houses. - Large Increase in Population and Traffic - The roads do not seem designed to handle the current traffic and population, an increase in population like this will add further stress to the problem. - 5 to 10 Year Build - concerned about the increased traffic and its safety (construction vehicles specifically), air pollution from the construction and traffic, noise. <p>The design being proposed seems like an attempt by the current property owner to build as big as possible to make as much money as possible. We appreciate a need that may exist to house more people, but think it should be done in more appropriate areas. Our ask is the project be reduced drastically in size.</p>
17	Robert Hayhow 710 Cedar Ave Burlington, ON L7T 2T7	Nov 17/17	<p>Please consider this to be my written comments regarding the proposal for the property address 610, 611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615, 699</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

		<p>Marley Road; 847, 871, 894, 917 Warwick Drive (the “Property”). Please also consider this my request to be notified of any decision made regarding the proposed amendments to the zoning by-laws for the Property.</p> <p>I am a resident of the neighbourhood, I reside on Cedar Avenue, very near to the Property. I have lived in this neighbourhood for 14 years. My wife, daughter and father-in-law also reside with me.</p> <p>Over the years living in this neighbourhood, I have noticed a considerable increase in the traffic within the neighbourhood. As I am sure you are aware, directly north-east of the neighbourhood there is a shopping plaza which has a Fortinos grocery store, IKEA, JYSK, Sleep Country and other stores (the “Plaza”). This Plaza contains the only grocery store proximate to the neighbourhood.</p> <p>As there is an IKEA in the Plaza and also the only local grocery store, it is, as you can imagine, quite busy. The intersection of Francis Road and Plains Road is incredibly busy. There are often delays of 2-3 light cycles to get from Francis Road to the Plaza or to turn right from Francis Road onto Plains Road. This difficulty was clearly acknowledged by the City of Burlington (the “City”) as they recently did roadwork to expand the roads in that area. This has not solved the problem. If the amendments to the zoning by-laws for the Property are made, would the City expand Francis Road even further? It seems to me it would be necessary to increase the number of lanes of Francis Road, which would be made highly difficult if not impossible due to the homes on either side of the road.</p> <p>Francis Road is a road which is supposed to be used for local traffic only. In addition, all neighbourhood roads are now 40KM/H roads. While I understand the need for this given the schools in the area, the speed limit combined with the number of stop signs (including the recently added stop sign at Sunset Road and Cedar Avenue) does make for slow traffic in the neighbourhood. Changing the density range by</p>
--	--	--

Appendix D – Public Comments

			<p>the amount proposed will dramatically increase the traffic in the neighbourhood.</p> <p>The City has made these changes to the speed limit and added stop signs in an attempt to make roads safer in the neighbourhood. Increasing traffic will have the opposite effect.</p> <p>In addition, as mentioned above, the Plaza contains the only grocery store proximate to the neighbourhood. This grocery store is busy at all hours of the day and becomes practically impossible to navigate during peak hours and holiday seasons. Tripling the number of residents at the Property will only serve to make the sole grocery store even more unusable.</p> <p>Further, city services will be affected by the change. For example, there are only two small elementary schools in the neighbourhood and it is doubtful they will be able to support a sudden increase to the population. The amount of public transportation in the neighbourhood would likely have to be increased as well, causing further delays on neighbourhood roads, and adding additional noise pollution to the neighbourhood.</p> <p>We have enjoyed quiet, peaceful use of our home for many years. The neighbourhood has been a wonderful place to raise our two children. Changing the Property density from 25-40 units per hectare to 302 units per hectare will fundamentally alter the neighbourhood to which we have become accustomed.</p>
18	<p>John Carey Mary Carey 906 King Road Burlington, ON L7T 3K9</p>	Jan 3/18	<p>We would like to express our our objections to the proposed expansion from approximately 280 units to 1450 and any changes to the existing Zoning By Law. Here are some of our concerns.</p> <p>This is already a very high density area boxed in by King Road, Plains Road, Frances Road, and Cedar Avenue. There are in addition to the town house complex , six high-rise residential buildings, five of which are approximately 15 stories high and one building with 11 stories.</p> <p>The increase in traffic would make roads into a parking lot during rush hour; the pollution and the health issues associated with slow moving traffic, also the high cost to public health and</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

			<p>social services. These surrounding roads are single lane (i.e. currently when the bus stops on King Road, it blocks the northbound lane). History has shown very clearly that high density residential areas lead to increase in crime, and are vulnerable to becoming a slum, this area is already showing signs of decline.</p> <p>We ask that you reject this proposal.</p>
19	Thomas Sawyer	Jan 18/18	<p>I am very concerned about the proposed huge increase in density under this proposal. A 400-per-cent increase to 1,450 units from the current 280 would further strain Fairview Road and King Road, which are already quite busy at certain times of the day, and would fundamentally change the character of the neighbourhood.</p>
20	Katherine Bondy 724 Surrey Lane Burlington, On L7T 3S6	Nov 21/17	<p>As you suggested, Ms. Emberson, I attended the meeting last night and left feeling more overwhelmed and disappointed than before. During the questioning period, I think maybe only half the questions were actually answered, and mine (surrounding housing for low-mid income families) was not one of them. For the ones that were, it seemed like both the city and project staff didn't really know what they were talking about.</p> <p>Many residents raise concerns surrounding traffic issues, and the responses felt contradictory. The committee offered to put in more speed bumps and stop signs, but this does not and allow for 2,500 more cars to traverse this area daily in an efficient way. Traffic is already overwhelming in this area and now you want to add a surplus of 2,500 vehicles? Hoping that more people start taking public transit is a naive suggestion. People have already opted for personal vehicles due to the poor quality of transit services offered in this city. I know this because I am one of them.</p> <p>Public transit might not be such a poor suggestion if there were more accessible routes, 24 hours services, adequate route times, and reasonable fares. Taking the bus used to be a way to save money, but now it's the same price for me to own a car.</p>

Appendix D – Public Comments

		<p>This city is price gouging its residents at every corner. First public transit and now renting. When my partner and I moved into our townhouse on Surrey Lane we signed on to pay \$1640 monthly, an already heavy price for what we're living in, and now, only three years later the city has allowed Realstar to add another \$200.00 to that bill with no explanation. When asked, the response was simply because they could.</p> <p>Now with this new development, the city is going to allow for the same company to nearly double this price and call it fair - call it market value. You're creating a new market value by allowing this to happen, and completely blocking the residents of Burlington to a fair value rental home in the process.</p> <p>This project is lacking greatly in many areas. There were questions left unanswered about traffic concerns, pricing concerns, the aesthetic of Burlington as a whole, and fair treatment of current residents. I do not agree with any aspect of this project, and I am deeply concerned by how hard the city seems to be pushing for it. Considering the city has already changed by-laws for the construction of one condo tower (beside the Walmart), what's to stop you now?</p> <p>My ending question here, and one that I was not able to ask last night, is:</p> <p>What does it honestly take to have a project proposal rejected? How many people need to protest something like this? What can Burlington residents do to ensure that the seven council members responsible for our voices make a decision that reflects what we want? What legal responsibilities do Burlington residents have to commit to in order to reject a project? I've tried looking for this information online, but it does not seem widely available.</p>
--	--	--

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

Concerns about Population density

→ Traffic

⇒ Equitable comparable housing/rental to existing tenants

→ Loyalty program

→ Schools

* Is there an opportunity for my son
to shadow/learn about city planning
from you



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Name: PETER MWAURA
Address: 902 WARWICK DRIVE
City: BURLINGTON
Postal Code: L7T 3Y5

(Optional)
E-mail: _____

Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the **Planning Act**, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

To assist with school overcrowding
crack down on children not living in
the area.

NO social housing



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Name: Katrina Johnston

Address: 672 Surrey Lane

City: Burlington

Postal Code: L7T 3S6

(Optional)

E-mail: _____

Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the **Planning Act**, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

We do not have the
infrastructure to support
that level of density.



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)


Name: Anne LeBarr

Address: 960 Warwick est

City: Burlington unit 7

Postal Code: L7T 4C5

(Optional)
E-mail:



Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the **Planning Act**, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

My concerns about this project are the following:

- Increase number of school aged children-

King's Road public school is a very small school not designed to handle the possible increase that would come with building for the current units

- who is going to pay for the increase in infrastructure, road maintenance, water pressure back to the plant

- The company is looking to increase it's profits while forgetting the people who live there the units available for the same price points

would be apartments- why would people living in a townhouse want to move to an apartment

- how is this going to impact the environment?

Georgian Court is trying to appeal to those who commute to Toronto. Burlington does not have much affordable rentals, while it builds up it does not build rental - new mortgage rules make it difficult to obtain one so people must rent making rental units still in high competition forcing people to leave Burlington.



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Name: Carlin Tullis

Address: 681 Marly RD

City: Burlington

Postal Code: L7T 3R8

(Optional)

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

My husband submitted written comments via email, I just wanted to emphasize how strongly we oppose the proposed density changes.

The changes to our neighbourhood will be too severe, the traffic increase is directly contrary to the current changes being made by the City.

The services in the neighbourhood cannot support the increased population.

Further, the neighbourhood is already subject to increased traffic due to the

location of IKEA & the highways - additional traffic will make the traffic unbearable.



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

Name: Barb Hayhow
Address: 710 Cedar Ave
City: Burlington
Postal Code: L7T 2R7

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Optional)
E-mail:



Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

"Keep affordable housing for
"normal" families. Traffic
is already insane! Why do
you have to pay a premium
to live in a nice city.
Just because not everyone is
rich!!



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

Name: _____

Address: _____

City: _____

Postal Code: _____

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Optional)
E-mail: _____

Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the **Planning Act**, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project



- Sewerage
- Accessibility apts -
- Assisted living - aging population & special needs
- Regional - Whether "in situ" will be provided. - gear to income
- Security - maintenance on site -
- Bus Route
- * How you will relocate - 280 residents that will need to find rental units in Burlington?
- to ensure that these are all rental units and not rent to own.

Is there any possibility that assisted living be available to the aging population & special needs. Mostly meals for those who are unable to cook for themselves.

Contact:

(Name)



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Name: Marie Gailing
Address: 4100 Hongmoon Dr Apt 226
City: Burlington ON
Postal Code: L7L 5H1

(Optional)
E-mail:



Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the **Planning Act**, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

- Concrete jungle development.
- People have moved here to get away from this.
- Tenants will be hard pressed to find equal or affordable housing.
- Wildlife endangerment - will continue to be an issue.
- Current projects along Plains Rd. have not added or created a walkable neighbourhood.



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

Name: _____

Address: _____

City: _____

Postal Code: _____

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Optional)

E-mail: _____

Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the **Planning Act**, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

I find it disgusting that the planning committee / city officials / developers can talk about "community" and all the benefits to enhancing the community, accomodating growth and needs - yet force existing community ~~members~~ members out of their homes. How can any of you, in good faith, treat your existing community like that?

No one can argue that there will be population growth, but how can you justify forced eviction on anyone?

Growing a community in a positive and respectful would involve developing unused land instead of land that ~~has~~ has

housed Georgian Court residents for

5, 10, 20+ years. Shame on you for inflicting such stress and anxiety on

your community. The community does not want this development if it means having to leave their homes. We should not have to pay the price for this "vision".



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

Name: S SMITH
Address: 935 WARWICK DR
City: BURLINGTON
Postal Code: L7T 3Y4

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Optional)
E-mail: _____

Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the **Planning Act**, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642

NEIGHBOURHOOD MEETING COMMENT SHEET

Subject: Applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit the development of 1450 residential rental units within a mix of townhouse, mid-rise and high-rise buildings and the construction of a road and park blocks.

Address: 610-611 Surrey Lane; 865 King Road; 615-699 Marley Road; 847-917 Warwick Drive

File numbers: 505-04/17, 520-11/17 & 510-03/17

Please indicate below any comments or concerns you may have about this project

not owned - just rentals

some bungalows - one lived

accessability

- common room for gatherings

a few with lower cupboards for those in wheelchairs

rent geared to income

like at Wellington Terrace on John St.
a cafeteria please! seniors & special needs people
don't want to cook or cannot do so

security

maintenance on ~~ref~~ site!

infrastructure ^{capacity} expenses!

a bus stop



Please deposit in the comment box when you leave or mail to:

Attention: **Lola Emberson**
City of Burlington Planning and Building
Department
426 Brant Street
P.O. Box 5013
Burlington, Ontario L7R 3Z6
or E-Mail to: **Lola.Emberson@burlington.ca**

(Please **FULLY** complete this section, if you wish your comments acknowledged.)

Name: Irene Cranstone

Address: 5140 Lakeshore

City: Burlington

Postal Code: L7L 1B9

NO LATER THAN: January 3rd, 2018

(Optional)

E-mail: C

Notice of Collection of Personal Information

Personal information is collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13 and may be contained in an appendix of a staff report, published in the meeting agenda, delegation list and/or the minutes of the public meeting and made part of the public record. The City collects this information in order to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s) and to notify interested parties of Council's decisions. It may also be used to serve notice of an Ontario Municipal Board hearing. Names and addresses contained in submitted letters and other information will be available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove their personal information. The disclosure of this information is governed by the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c.M. 56. Questions about this collection and disclosure should be directed to: Coordinator of Development Review, Planning (905) 335-7642