CPRM January 10, 2023 PL-02-23 Staff Presentation

### STREAMLINE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FUNDING PROJECT

Brief Project Overview for Report PL-02-23

## **PROJECT PRIORITIES**

Modernize, streamline, and accelerate processes for managing and approving housing applications

### Training for Staff

Lean Six Sigma training for project staff 29 staff trained

### Pre-building Permit Process Review

- 1. Environmental Scan
- 2. Value stream mapping
- 3. Identify key pain points and prioritize solutions
- 4. Design and experiment with solutions
- 5. Direct involvement with the customer

### Development Charges Process

- . Assessment and validation of already completed process review work (including recommendations)
- 2. Validate future state proposal with key stakeholders
- 3. Design and implement process improvements (including dependent processes)

Pre-consultation & Other Supporting Process Improvements (as applicable)

- 1. Identify areas for improvement focus on Amanda improvements
  - Prioritize improvements to supporting process considering impact to overall process outcomes - includes Forestry & DC process improvements

## **PROJECTS RUNNING IN PARALLEL**







- Audit and Accountibility Funding
- Land Management
  Development Platform Review -Amanda technology
- Enterprise Web Archictecture & Modernization Review

Burlington Lands Partnership/ Housing Strategy

- Strategic use of available land for housing
  - Assessment of issues/ opportunities associated with the acquisition & re-use of former school sites re: attainable

housing

Community Benefit (new)

- New process required by legislation
  - Launch June 2022

## IMPORTANT CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT FUNDAMENTALS



- Psychological Safety
  - Collaboration
  - Direct customer engagement
- Designing for the win win



- Make it flow, make it visible
- Solving problems at the root
  - Making room For improvement & strategy



- Reduce task switching
- Focus on the valueadd
- Plan Do Check Act
- Experimentation



## UNDERSTANDING THE CURRENT STATE

### ENVIRONMENTAL SCAN

Interviews with Oakville, Markham & Brampton

• poor quality submissions, interruption through file escalations, culture is important

### VOICE OF THE CUSTOMER

• Before value stream mapping, during application experiment, during visibility tool design

### BASELINE DATA COLLECTION

 Collected during value stream mapping, used capacity analysis data from 2021

### **PBP - HOW DOES THE PROCESS WORK?**

ACTIVITIES

<b>Phase 1</b> Application submission	Pł Re <sup>1</sup>	n <b>ase 2</b> view	Phase 3 PBP Approval	Next Proc Building perr application process		
Applicant finds the application form on the website	Staff in zoning, site engineering and forestry review the application to	Staff in zoning, site engineering and forestry	Staff issue the pre- building permit.	The applicant submits		
Applicant completes the form.	ensure it includes all information and requirements	ensure it complies with applicable by-laws	Other administrative tasks are completed (i.e., collection of securities etc.	building permit.		
Applicant finds and hires professionals as required (engineer, arborist)	If requirements are missing the application	Where corrections are required, staff make comments and send to	*this is a simplified representation	on of the actual process		
Applicant works with RDT to submit application	applicant to make corrections	deficiency letter requesting corrections be made				
		Applicants make corrections where required and re-submit their application for further review to ensure they have made all necessary changes				

**PT** = **Processing time** – the amount of time to perform the task

### VALUE STREAM MAPPING CURRENT STATE

ET = Elapsed Time – amount of time for the application to move from one step to the next

**%CA** = **% Complete & Accurate** – percent of time the information coming is correct and staff can use it to complete their task



- Elapsed time sits with the applicant 50% of the time and with staff 50% of the time
- Worst case scenarios can extend to a maximum of 24 months these tend to be outliers or exceptions

### **KEY ROOT CAUSES**

			1	1	1					1	1			1	1		_
To what extent does the ROW cause the COLUMN? Major cause: 10 Minor cause: 5	Lack of process visibility	Bad Appplication form	Too much back and forth	AMANDA not utilized properly	Complex requirements and bylaws	Overprocessing/ manual process @ RDT	Files get escalated	Staff not utilized properly	EZE process ineffectiveness, including 3 levels of approval	Lack staff capacity	No continuous improvement routines	Too long to process payments / not visible	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	ROWS: impact of this cause	ON ALL OLLIET
Lack of process visibility			10			5	10		5		5					35	
Bad Appplication form			10			10	5	5		5		5				40	
Too much back and forth							10	5		10						25	
AMANDA not utilized properly	10		10			10		10	5	10		5				60	
Complex requirements and bylaws		10	10			5	5		10	10						50	1
Overprocessing/ manual process @ RDT			10				10			5						25	
Files get escalated								10								10	
Staff not utilized properly												5				5	
E2E process ineffectiveness, including 3 levels of approval		5	10			10	10	10		10						55	
Lack staff capacity							10	10				5				25	
No continuous improvement routines		5	5	10	5	10	10	10	10	5		10				80	
loo long to process payments / not visible			5			5	5		5							20	T
COLUMNS: how many other causes impact this cause	1	3	8	1	1	7	9	7	5	7	1	5	0	0	0		

### **IMPROVEMENTS**



## **IMPROVEMENTS**



## THE HIGHLIGHTS

**IMPROVEMENTS** 

<b>Phase 1</b>	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4
Application Submission	Pre-Screening	Review	Approve
Experiment #2: Improved Application Form Experiment #8: Amanda Improvements – Pre- consultation	Experiment #2: Initial File Circulation Experiment #4: SHIELD Phase 1 – pre-screen Experiment #6: Development Charges:	Experiment #3: Site Engineering Review Simplifications Experiment #4: SHIELD Phase 2 – review – 3 cycles to 2 Experiment #5: Deficiency Letter	Experiment #9: Visibility Tool - Consolidated PBP Amanda Folder

Experiment #9: Process Visibility Tool

## HOW IMPROVEMENT CHANGES EXPERIENCE

#### FOR THE CUSTOMER

- Applications will take less time
- Customers can see where in the process their application is sitting
- Customers feel included and informed, and this will build trust
- Reduction in frustration
- Ability to meet their own (business) needs

#### FOR THE STAFF

- Found capacity will help reduce overwhelming workloads and work will feel more valuable
- Backlogs will be reduced/eliminated
- Satisfaction in providing good service to clients
- Reduction in stress from dealing with frustrated customers
- Increased collaboration between departments
- Improved teambuilding, engagement and empowerment

# **CULTURAL CHANGES**

How are we changing the way we work and how we do business

- March Siloed operations
  - Risk aversion
  - Change adverse
  - Customers not included

- July ·
- Embracing fear of failure
  - Experimenting
  - Working cross-functionally

- **Today** Staff are generating
  - experiments independentlyChoosing when risk is right
  - Enablers not enforcers
  - Readily reaching across boarders
  - Empowering staff to lead
  - Working with customers







## **NEXT STEPS**

- Align process with Bill 23
- Continue to measure the impact of improvements on the overall process
- Establish a continuous improvement plan to move forward with beyond SDAF
  - In collaboration with partnering departments (building, site engineering, forestry, IT)
- Scale solutions to other planning processes



